the Lok Sabha on April 16, 1987, but also in the Rajya Sabha on April 20, 1987 wherein he had stated;

"The Government will only transact business with the supplier. The supplier may be a company and the supplier may be a Government.. Similarly in the Defence business you have buyers, seliers and pushers except that the agents are called pushers. Therefore, if auybody says or lays a claim to the theory that a Government Or a Minister can categorically remove from the surface of this earth all such people, then I am afraid that that claim has no relationship to fact. Therefore, whav must be done is to find a method of how to control it. "

I have carefully gone through all the papers, including the relevant statements of Shri Arun Singh in the Lok Sabha and the Rajya Sabha connected with the issue, *h* appears to me that Sri Arun Singh had consistently treated commercial negotiations and commercial transactions as distinct propositions and defence establish-ent^ and defence ministry as disentitles.

Considering Shri Arun Singh's explanation in the context of the debates and his _ statements in both Houses of Parliament I am of the opinion that Shri Arun Singh has not made any statement which could b$_e$ construed as deliberately misleading the Lok Sabha and committing a breach of privilage of that House. I, therefore, feel that the matter need not be pursued further. I am informing the Hon'ble Speaker, Lok Sabha, accordingly and am also forwarding *to* him the subseqeunt comments received by me from Ehri Arun Singh. Now, Shri M. M. Jacob.

श्री अटल बिहारी वाजपेयी : सभापति जी पंत जी के खिलाफ मेरे प्रस्ताव का क्या हुआ ?

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI; Sir, what happened to my privilege notice against Shri Jaswant Singh? I have given a notice of breach of privilege against Shri Jaswant Singh. What happened to that?

श्री सभापति : आप बुजुर्ग लोग हो, जानते हैं ।

SHRl A. G. KULKARNI; Being a buzurg does not mean that I should not do my duty towards Parliament.

MR. CHAIRMAN; It does not give you any licence to get up at any time...

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: No licence is required. You were dealing with the notice of breach of privilege against Shri Arun Singh. I was waiting for a reply to my notice of breach of privilege aagins: Shri Jaswant Singh.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Wait. We will talk. We have talked so many times. Now, Shri, Jacob to make a statement on the Government Business for next week.

[The Vice-Chairman (Shri Jagesh Desai) in the Chair.]

ANNOUNCEMENT RE. GOVERNMENTBUSINESS FOR THE REMAININGPART OF CURRENT SESSION

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Mr. Vice-Chairman Sir.

With your permission, I rise to announce that Government Business in this House during the remaining part of the current session will consist of: —

(1) Consideration of any item of Government Business carried over from today's Order Paper.

(2) Discussion on the Resolution regarding Railway Convention Committee.

(3) Consideration and return of the following Bills, as passed by the Lok Sabha: —

(a) The Appropriation (Bail-ways) Bill, 1988.

(b)' The Appropriation (Railways). No. 2 Bill, 1988.

[Shri M. M. Jacob]

(c) The Appropriation (Railways) No. 3 Bill, 1988.

(4) General Discussion on the Punjab Budget for 1988-89.

(5) Consideration and return of the following Bills, as passed by the Lok Sabha: —

(a) The Punjab Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1988.

(b) The Punjab Appropriation Bill, W88.

(6) General Discussion on the Tamil Nadu Budget for 1988-89.

(7)> Consideration and 'return of the following Bills, as passed by the Lok Sabha: —

(a) The Tamil Nadu Appro priation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1988.

(b) The Tamil Nadu Appropriation Bill, 1988.

(c) The High Court and Supreme Court Judges (Conditions of Service) Amendment Bill, 1988.

(8)' Consideration and passing of the Illegal migrants (Determination by Tribunals) Amendment Bill, 1987, as passed by Lok Sabha.

(9) Consideration and passing of the Tamil Nadu State Legislature (Delegation of Powers) Bill, 1988.

I. THE APPROPRIATION (VOTE ON ACCOUNT) BILL, 1988

II. THE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1988

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of lndia for the services of a part of the financial year 1988-89 as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration. "

Mr. Vice-Chairman Sir, the House had a general discussion on the Bud get 1988-89. The Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill seeks two months' supply to enable the Government to carry on, pending detailed consideration and passing of the Demands for Grants for the full year and the connected Appropriation Bill.

The total amount provided in the Bill is Rs. 40456. 50 crores of which Rs. 28949. 11 crores is 'charged' on the Consolidated Fund of lndia for the balance of Rs. 11507. 39 crores has been voted by the Lok Sabha. Necessary details °f the Vote on Account are given in the pamphlet already circulated to the Hon'ble Members. Sir, I move for the consideration of the Bill; Sir, I also beg to move:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1987-88, a_s passed by the Lok Sabha be taken into consideration. "

The Bill arises out of the Supplementary Appropriation 'Charged on the Consolidated Fund of India and Demands voted by the Lok Sabha on March 21, 1988. These involve gross additional expenditure of Rs. 3669 crores. The details of the' Supule-mentary Demands are available in the dqcument laid on the Table of the House qn 11-3-88.

The concerned Ministries/Departments have identified matching savings/increased receipts to the extent of Rs. 2272 crores. Thus the net additional expenditure is Rs. 1397 crores.

Sir, I move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

The questions were proposed.